

From: JRStrong@aol.com@inetgw
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 11/21/01 5:11pm
Subject: The DOJ seems to be admitting its own inferiority?

When a company is so "illegally" profitable that the DOJ treats it as the "King of Microsoft," instead of following previous precedents?

I mean by the DOJ's logic, there was NOTHING wrong with the Sherman railroad bridge being for the use of ONLY one Sherman company! Instead of "bailing" under pressure from M\$, the DOJ is supposed to represent the interests of everyone in the American population.

By the DOJ's current logic, I could place a toll charge over 1 bridge over the Mississippi River, requiring EVERYBODY to pay me a toll charge before I would let them cross my only one bridge over the river?

I had an "epiphany" or something about this happening when I was a freshman in business at the University of Illinois in Urbana/Champaign in 1987! I could "see" in 1987 how EVERY UIUC official/secretary had an Apple Macintosh computer on their desk to help them with their work? And I had also "heard" about M\$ Windoze 1.0 and I knew then that every software product by M\$ was an addition to the former M\$ product, and I thought of how a future M\$ Windoze would overtake the MacOS? This was precisely what started happening on a big scale with Windows 95 in 1995!

Bill Gates was trained in Computer Science, which entails total domination before applying the "brakes," so this is why the antitrust problem? I can see how my Illinois attorney general has "bailed" from the suit, as is a tendency of Chicago politics, but there are still 9 states that will hold M\$ accountable for its actions!

Jeff Strong
jrstrong@aol.com
217/234-2547 apt/voicemail
916/405-3010 voicemail
508/590-5532 fax